

TECHNICAL REPORT

This document has been approved for public reference and actor his distribution is unlimited.

1-70 (FF3

Insecticide Susceptib.lictes of Phiebotosus paparasi (Scopoli) from Egypt and the Sudan

By

M. L. Schmidt and J. R. Schmidt



U. S. NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH UNIT No.3

(CAIRO, UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC)

INSECTICIDE SUSCEPTIBILITIES OF PHLEBOTOMUS PAPATASI (SCOPOLI) FROM EGYPT AND THE SUDAN

By M. L. Schmidt and J. R. Schmidt²

Abstract: Susceptibility levels for Egyptian and Sudanese strains of Phlebotomus papatasi were determined through the use of the W.H.O. Standard Test Kit for Adult Sandfiles. Mean LC₄₀ values for DDT ranged from 0.80-1.93% and for dieldrin from 0.63-1.07%. Laboratory-colony sandfiles were found to be slightly more tolerant to both insecticides than were wild-caught specimens, possibly a manifestation of vigor tolerance.

Recognizing the importance of maintaining a continuous surveillance of the insecticide susceptibility status of medically important insects, the World Health Organization has developed standard tests for assessing tolerance levels and has encouraged their use in areas where disease vectors are prevalent (W.H.O. 1960). By periodically comparing the susceptibility levels of natural field populations with previously established baseline values, it is possible to detect increased tolerances and to recommend appropriate modifications in control measures.

The status of the susceptibility of *Phlebotomus* sandflies to various insecticides has been reviewed by Brown (1958) and Pal & Kalra (1965). Members of the genus are characterized by marked susceptibility to DDT, dieldrin and BHC under laboratory and field conditions and no evidence of resistance has been uncovered. Unfortunately, information based on the use of standard quantitative methods is limited for this genus, and the need for more extensive testing has been stressed (W.H.O. 1960). The present report sets forth baseline data for Egyptian and Sudanese populations of *Phlebotomus papatasi* and compares the tolerance levels of wild and colonized material.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Susceptibility tests were performed with: wildcaught specimens collected during 1960 and 1961 from human dwellings in 3 Nile Delta villages,

From Work Unit MR005.09-1202.5, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, Navy Department, Washington, D. C. The opinions and assertions contained herein are the private ones of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Navy Department or the naval service at large.

²U. S. Naval Medical Research Unit No. 3, Cairo, Egypt, U. A. R. Present address: NAMRU-3 Field Facility, APO New York 09319, USA.

Qaranfil and Barrada in Qalubiya Province, and El Amiriya, a suburb in northern metropolitan Cairo; flies from a laboratory colony established in 1959 with specimens collected in El Amiriya; flies from a laboratory colony established in 1961 with specimens collected in Tir village, 8 km north of Paloich, Upper Nile Province, Republic of the Sudan.

Wild-caught specimens were collected between May and October, the period of greatest sandfly prevalence in lower Egypt. They were aspirated from walls and ceilings, transferred to a small holding cage and transported to the laboratory where they were held at 21°C and 90% RH until tested on the following day. Only females which had fed within the previous 60 hr, judged by the extent of blood-meal digestion, were used in the tests.

Laboratory-reared flies from various generations were fed on a guinea pig and held at 21°C and 90% RH for 1 to 2 days until tested. All colony flies were 1 to 4 days old when exposed to insecticide.

The standard W.H.O. Test Kit for Adult Sandflies was used throughout the study. The methods are described in detail in a W.H.O. Technical Report (1960). In general, flies were exposed to papers impregnated with 2-fold dilutions of DDT (ranging from 0.25 to 4.0%) or dieldrin (ranging from 0.2 to 1.6%) for 1 hr, using 15 to 20 flies per dilution. Two-hour exposure periods were also used in testing colony flies for dieldrin susceptibility because 1-hr exposures frequently resulted in incomplete mortality at the highest test concentration. During the exposure period, room temperature ranged from 24° to 28°C. relative humidity from 30 to 75% and light intensity from 6 to 7 foot-candles. Following exposure, flies were transferred to insecticide-free containers and held in total darkness for 24 hr at 20° to 22°C and 90% RH. For each valid test, i.e., in which the control mortality was less than 20%, the corrected percent mortalities (Abbott's formula) were calculated for each insecticide dilution and plotted on logarithmic probability paper. LC50s and dosage-mortality regression line slopes were determined according to the method described by Litchfield & Wilcoxon (1949).

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The results of susceptibility tests with DDT are given in TABLE 1. Mean LC₅₀s for wild-caught Egyptian sandflies ranged from 0.80 to 1.03%, for colonized Egyptian material from 0.97 to 1.50%, and for colonized Sudanese specimens from 1.40 to 1.93%. The dosage-mortality regression lines reveal the natural and colony populations to be quite homogeneous in their response to DDT. No evidence of pronounced heterogeneity indicating a potential shift in tolerance levels was obtain.

The responses of sandflies following 1- and 2-hr exposures to various concentrations of dieldrin are summarized in Table 2. Mean LC₅₀s following 1-hr exposure ranged from 0.63 to 0.80% for wild-caught Egyptian sandflies, 1.03 to 1.07% for colonized Egyptian material, and 0.90 to 0.95% for colonized Sudanese flies. Slopes of the regression lines for dieldrin were generally steep indicating a consistent homogeneity in both the wild and colonized populations. In comparing the results of tests using 1- and 2-hr exposure times, an approximate 1:1 inverse relationship was found between exposure time and LC₅₀. Regression line slopes were not influenced by variations in exposure times.

Colonization appears to have conferred a degree of "vigor tolerance" (Hoskins & Gordon 1956) to the sandflies, since the highest LC₅₀s for both DDT and dieldrin were obtained with laboratory-reared material. Sandflies from early laboratory generations were consistently more tolerant to both insecticides than were wild-caught flies. On continued colonization, the flies tended to revert to their natural state of susceptibility to DDT but retained their enhanced tolerance to dieldrin. The mechanisms responsible for their greater tolerance are unknown, but it is possible that the improved nutritional state and larger size of the colony flies (Lewis & Minter 1960, Schmidt 1964) are contributing factors.

The Egyptian strains of *P. papatasi* used in the present study resemble those from other countries in regard to their susceptibilities to DDT and dieldrin (TABLE 3). Total experience with natural populations of this species reveals an LC₅₀ range of 0.28 to 1.2% for DDT and 0.15 to 0.80% for dieldrin when tests were conducted according to W.H.O. standards. The levels observed for DDT to date are similar to those obtained with naturally susceptible populations of mosquitoes prior to the development of resistance, i.e., 0.5 to 2.0%. Values for

TABLE 1. Results of DDT susceptibility uses with wild and colonized Philbotonus papatusi.

			CORRE	CHED P	ERK ENTA	60K 30	TALITIES	APTER	Corrected percentage mortalities after 1-198 emposure to:	OSURE 1	;0			2		
	No.	0.259	0.25% DDT 0.5% DDT 1.0% DDT	0.5%	DUT	1.0	DIDL	2.0	2.23% DDT 0.5% DDT 1.0% DDT 2.0% DDT 4	4.0	4.0% DDT	3	LC. (%)		LINE SLOPE	
SANDFLY SOURCE	Trsrs	Mean	Viran Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Mean Range Mean Range Mean Range	Mean	Mean Range	Nean	OF Tests Mean Range Mean Range Mean Range Mean Range Mean Range		Mean Range	
El Amiriya, Egypt (wild-caught)	6	\$	6 0-24 13 0-25 +6 26-67 87 72-100	2	0-25	\$	26-67	83	72-100	١.	,	1.03	1.03 0.77-1.40 1.8 1.2-2.4	1.3	1.2-2.4	
Barrada, Egypt (wild-caught)	εı	0	9	0.5	0.5 0-1	75	75 65-85 97 94-100	97	94-100	ı	ı	0.80	0.80 0.80-0.80	<u></u>	1.5 1.2-1.8	
Qaranfil, Egypt (wild-caught)	71"	0	•	91	0-35	ß	50 22-80		25 75-93	ı	ı	96.0	0.64-1.25	6.	1.9 1.4-2.3	
Egypt; 2nd-3rd lab. generations	6	:	ı		်	36	16 0-35	72	72 45-91	76	90-100	1.50	1.50 1.12-1.32		1.6 1.4-1.9	
Egypt; 13-14th lab. generations	ત્રા	i	ı	8	0-70	ĭ	35-69	96	90 84-100	8	100	1.01	1.01 0.88-1.15	1.6	1.5 1.4-1.8	
Egypt; 19th lab. generation	¢4	ι	1	0	Φ	15	55 47-63	76	97 93-100	100	100	0.97	0.92-1.03	I.4	1.4 1.3-1.5	
Sudan; 6th lab. generation	က	t	1	41	P-13	13	13 7-20	49	49 40-67	16	91 30-100	1.93	1.93 1.50-2.20		1.9 1.5-2.3	
Sudan- 10th lab accountion	-	ı	ı	7	ı	27	- 67 - 72	67	,	100	100	1.40	ı	60,	ı	

TABLE 2. Results of dieldric susceptibility tests with wild and colonized Phlebotomus papatasi,

		•	Correct	ro %	MORTALI	TIKS AFT	жи 1-ик.	EXPOSUR	u TO:				
	No.).2% SLORIN		.4% LDRIN		U% LDRIN		.6% ELDRIN	Lo	See (%)		noissani Broje a
SANDELY SOURCE		Men	n Range	Men	n Range	Mean	Rango	Mean	Range	Moun	Rango	Men	Range
El Amiriya, Egypt (wild-caught)	8	5	0-13	19	5-36	68	53-86	99	94-100	0.63	0.49-0.80	1.7	1.4-2.1
Barrada, Egypt (wild-caught)	3	0	0	6	0-12	49	3364	95	86-100	0.80	0.73-0.83	1.5	1.3-1.7
Quranfil, Egypt (wild-caught) Egypt; 2nd-3rd lab.	4	1	0-5	14	0-35	55	45-64	100	100	0.72	0.68-0.76	1.7	1.3-2.2
generations	8	1	0~5	2	0-5	23	15-45	85	70~100	1.07	0.84-1.27	1.6	1.5-1.7
Egypt; 19th lab. generation	1	0		0	-	27	-	87	-	1.03	=	1.5	
Sudan; 6th lab. generation	3	0	0	9	7-13	47	27-87	80	67-100	0.95	0.61-1.14	1.8	1.4-2.2
Sudan ; 10th lab, generation	1	0	-	7	-	33	-	100	-	0.90	-	1.6	_
			Co	RREC	тво % мо	RTALIT	IES APIBR	2-11R E2	crosunt				
Egypt; 2nd-3rd lab. generations	8	4	0-10	35	5-60		55-100	100	100	0.48	0.35-0.75	1.6	1.4-4.
Egypt; 13-14th lab. generations	5	9	0-27	46	13-91	99	94-100	100	100	0.42	0.28-0.59	1.4	1.3-1.
Egypt; 19th lab. generation	2	5	0-10	29	16-42	100	100	100	100	0.47	0.40-0.55	1.5	1.4-1.6

TABLE 3. Summary of available information on the susceptibility of *P. papatasi* to DDT and dieldrin, using the W.H.O. Standard Test Kit.

	Me/	N LC					
SANDPLY SOURCE	ppr	Dieldrin	REPRENCE				
Chania, Crete	0.75	N.T.	Hadjinicolaou, 1958				
El Amiriya, Egypt	1,03	0.63	Present study				
Barrada, Egypt	08,0	08.0	Present study				
Qaemfil, Egypt	0.96	0.72	Present study				
Athens, Greece	0.28	0.2	Hadjinicolaou, 1958				
Embaca Is., Greece	N.T.	0.2	Hadjinicolaou, 1958				
Karditama Gula, Greece	1.2	0.5	Belios; cited in Pal & Kalra, 1965				
Vrontamas, Greece	0.4	0.27	Belios; cited in Pal & Kalca, 1965				
Karela, India	0.56	0.15	Pal & Kaira, 1965				
Wad Medani, Sudan	0.9	0.32	Qutubuddin, 1964				
Maarret Shamrine, Syria	8.0	0,52, 0,8	Hadjinicolaou; cited in Pal & Kalra, 1965				

dieldrin are higher for *P. papatasi*, i.e., 0.15 to 0.80%, than for mosquitoes, i.e., 0.05 to 0.15% (Busvine 1956, VV.H.O. 1957, Davidson 1958). This suggests that sandflies have a higher natural level of tolerance to this insecticide.

Lack of definitive information on the extent of insecticide use in many of the countries in which susceptibility tests have been conducted precludes an assessment of the influence of spraying on the reported LC₅₀ values. The values for Greece, Crete (Hadjinicolaou 1958) and Egypt are based on tests using sandflies from areas where aerosol space sprays had been used extensively but where

residual spray had not been applied. Thus, it is likely that the LC₅₀ values obtained with sandfiles from these areas represent "normal" susceptibility levels and that flies from other areas exhibiting similar tolerances have been unaffected by exposure to insecticides. The reported values, whether indicative of normalcy or not, reflect the susceptibility status of sandfly populations at a given point in time and as such constitute valuable baseline data for future comparisons.

REFERENCES

- Brown, A. W. A. 1958. Insecticide resistance in arthropods. W.H.O. Monogr. Scr. No. 38.
- Busvine, J. R. 1956. A survey of measurements of the susceptibility of different mosquitoes to insecurides. Bull. W.H.O. 15: 787-91.
- Davidson, G. 1958. Studies on insecticide resistance in anopheline mosquitoes. Bull. IV.H.O. 18: 579-621.
- Hadjinicolaou, J. 1958. Present status of Philosomus in certain areas of Greece. Bull, IV.II.O. 19: 967-79,
- Hoskins, W. N. & 11. T. Gordon. 1956. Arthropod resistance to chemicals. Ann. Rev. Ent. 1: 89-122.
- Lewis, D. J. & D. M. Minter. 1960. Internal structural changes in some African Phlebotominae. Ann. Trop. Ated. Parasitol. 54: 351-65.
- Litchfield, J. T. & F. Wilcoxon. 1949. A simplified method of evaluating dose-effect experiments. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Pherap. 96: 99-113.
- Pal, R. & R. L. Kalra. "65. Insecticide susceptibility of some insects of public health importance. Unpublished working document W.H.O./Vector Control/123,
- Qutubuddin, M. 1964. A preliminary note on the susceptibility of *Philebotomus* to insecticides. Sudan Med. J. 3: 11-15.
- Schmidt, M. L. 1964. Laboratory culture of two Phlebotomus

species, P. papatasi and P. orientalis. Bull. W.H.O. 31: 577-78.

World Health Organization, Malaria Section. 1957. Assessment of susceptibility to insecticides in anopheline

mosquitoes. Bull. W.II.O. 16: 874-90.

World Health Organization. 1960. Insecticide resistance and vector control. Tenth report of the Expert Committee on Insecticides. IV.II.O. Techn. Rep. Ser. No. 191.

To. TOTAL app. OF PAGES 26. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASS IF IED 26. GROUP 2
Papatasi (Scopoli)from Egypt and
papatsei (Scopoli)from Egypt and
7a. TOTAL ap. OF PAGES 75. 25. OF REFS
7a. TOTAL 20. OF PAGES 76. 26. OF REFS
7a. TOTAL ap. OF PAGES 75. 18. OF REFS
المراف والمراز
9m. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(B)
NAMRU-3-TR-1-70 (PP)
96. OTHER REPORT NO(S) [stay other numbers that may be assign
HROOF TO 1201
Bureau-nor metiteins will Surgery Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20390
se strains of Phlebotomus papatami Standard Test Kit for Adult Sandflies. 3% and for dieldrin from 0.63-1.0%. slightly more tolerant to both insect- bly a manifestation of vigor tolerance.

KEY WONDS		Ř A	1.14	-	LHI	
والمستعدد	HOLE	W T	not s.	VT.	HOLK	W.I
Phlehotomus papatasis Insecticides Susceptibility Dieldrin Wild And Colonized populations Sandflies DDT and NEO	** **		•			
Rgypt Sudan					,	
			a. 4.		•	
•						
general species of the second		, ,		}		:: <u>.</u>
•						
South and Indian		į Į				
* · * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		.,		• 45		
First report some of the company of					نده فا	1 1.
, Συνου ι 1 19 -10.				,	• • •	·
See to the second and a second and the second and t			,		•	
•						
•						
·						
						77.5
D I NOV 65 1473 (BACK)		unclas	SIFIRD	Y CLASSII		